

easements and easements for life, are also subject to the provisions of the *Documentary Transfer Tax Act*, and thus also should be subject to an exception from the new fee.(5)

Section 2 of the Bill further describes the intention of the exception as follows: "In order to promote housing and homeownership opportunities, the recording fee imposed by this act shall not be applied to any recording made in connection with a sale of real property. Purchasing a home is likely the largest purchase made by Californians, and it is the intent of this act to not increase transaction costs associated with these transfers."

Section 21388.1(a)(2) also provides an exemption from the new fee in connection with a transfer of property to a grantee who will occupy the dwelling as a principal residence, even if the documentary transfer tax is not imposed on the transfer. Thus, documents recorded as part of a refinance loan on an owner occupied property, including, for example, transfer deeds, i.e., in and out of a trust, are exempt. However, in the same type of refinance transaction regarding a non-owner occupied property, the fee would be imposed as to both the deed transferring the ownership interest out of the trust and the deed transferring it back into the trust.

As a practical matter, county recorders do not take it upon themselves to determine whether a document is subject to the fee or the exception. Title companies have confirmed with the county recorders that any exception for payment of the fee on an individual document must be set forth on the face of the document or in a cover sheet when the document is presented for recording. A few select counties require inclusion of a declaration under penalty of perjury that an exception applies.

#### Interpreting the \$225 Fee Cap

For purposes of the \$225 fee cap, documents included in a single transaction are those presented together and related to the same parties and property.(6) The Legislature's imposition of the cap "per each single transaction per parcel of real property" suggests that the \$225 fee limit is not intended to be for the life of a loan, but rather is a cap for all documents submitted simultaneously in one transaction.

Multiple documents that relate to a sale or transfer transaction of real property received from one party may include multiple "SB2" transactions. If not otherwise exempt, the fee would be \$75 for each recorded document, up to the cap of \$225.

Trailing documents that come in days or weeks after the other documents in a transaction would not be included in the calculation of the \$225 cap and would require payment of the \$75 fee if not otherwise exempt. Thus, for example, a transfer or assignment of a loan after origination (other than a simultaneous assignment of the loan upon origination), commencement of foreclosure proceedings, or reconveyance of the loan would be considered separate transactions for purposes of the statute, even though they may relate to the same parties to the loan.(7)

### Practical Applications for Lenders and Loan Servicers

From a practical standpoint, lenders and loan servicers should now begin to include in their payoff demand statements an additional \$150 in recording fees for the recording of a Substitution of Trustee and Full Reconveyance (\$75.00 for each "title" on the document), necessary for the release of the loan following a full payoff.

Additional examples of a multiple title document include a Substitution of Trustee and Notice of Default, Deed of Trust with Assignment of Rents (also \$150), and an Assignment of Deed of Trust, Substitution of Trustee and Notice of Default combination (\$225). Title companies and county recorders have advised that such multi-purpose documents will be assessed the new fee for each title.

With respect to the disclosure of fee estimates on a new loan, it is advisable to obtain an estimate from the title company handling the closing, so that the loan estimate is as close as possible to the actual fees to be incurred.

While there is currently some uncertainty about the disclosure of good faith fee estimates for transactions and how many documents will need to be recorded in each transaction, once the act is put into practice and closing agents gain experience, the fee estimates will become easier.

In the meantime, it appears that the preferred method is to disclose the transaction maximum of \$225,(8) as a refund can be given through an amended settlement statement in the event actual recording fees are lower. Otherwise, if the lender under-discloses and the difference exceeds applicable tolerances, the lender would be responsible for payment of the tolerance cure on every such transaction.(9) These amounts could certainly add up over the course of many transactions.

Legislative Counsel's Digest, SB 2, Atkins. Building Homes and Jobs Act; See newly added Cal. Health & Saf. Code § 50470.
 Cal. Gov. Code §12388.1(a)(1).

(3) California Revenue & Taxation Code §11911(a).

(4) People ex rel. Department of Public Works v. County of Santa Clara (Cal. App. 1st Dist. 1969), 275 Cal. App. 2d 372, 79 Cal. Rptr, 787, 1969 Cal. App. LEXIS 1927.

(5)62 Ops. Cal. Atty. Gen. 87.

(6) California Mortgage Bankers Association SB2 Compliance Webinar, January 25, 2018, Lisa Tyler, Fidelity National Financial, Inc., who has worked with all 58 County Recorders' Offices regarding implementation of the Bill.

(7) California Mortgage Bankers Association SB2 Compliance Webinar, January 25, 2018, Lisa Tyler, Fidelity National Financial, Inc., who has worked with all 58 County Recorders' Offices regarding implementation of the Bill.
(8) The disclosed finance charge is considered accurate if it is not understated by more than \$100, but overstatements are not violations. 12 C.F.R §1026.18(d).
(9) 12 C.F.R. §1026.19(f)(2)(v)

About Wright, Finlay & Zak, LLP Wright, Finlay & Zak, LLP specializes in mortgage-related litigation, compliance and regulatory matters for its clients throughout the Western United States, including California, Nevada, Arizona, Washington, Utah, Oregon, New Mexico, Idaho and Hawaii. If you have any questions regarding the new fees imposed by California Government Code section 27388.1 or any other matter, please contact Joan C. Spaeder-Younkin at jspaeder@wrightlegal.net or Michelle A. Mierzwa at <u>mmierzwa@wrightlegal.net</u>.

### next story

# back to current headlines

SUBSCRIBERS: Edit Subscription | Subscription Help | or call 214.521.1300

Subscribe Contact Us Site Map

Sul

Copyright © 2017 Mortgage Daily, D a I I a s

# Subsribers Only:

mortgage company directory mortgage regulations net branch directory p r i c i n g engine directory wholesale lender directory

AMC directory ARM indexes

### More Mortgage News Resources (full site map):

| advertising news          | MBS                        | mortgage insurance news   | mortgage technology   |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| appraisal news            | mortgage associations      | mortgage lawsuits         | mortgage video        |
| bank news                 | mortgage-backed securities | mortgage leads            | mortgage Webinars     |
| biggest lenders           | mortgage books             | mortgage lender ranking   | net branch            |
| commercial mortgage news  | mortgage brokers           | mortgage licenses         | net branch directory  |
| corporate mortgage news   | mortgage compliance        | mortgage litigation       | nonprime news         |
| credit news               | mortgage conferences       | Mortgage Litigation Index | origination news      |
| FHA news                  | mortgage directories       | Mortgage Market Index     | originator tools      |
| financial regulation news | mortgage education         | mortgage mergers          | real estate news      |
| foreclosure news          | mortgage employment        | mortgage news             | refinance news        |
| free mortgage news        | mortgage employment index  | mortgage politics         | reverse mortgage news |
| GSE news                  | mortgage executives        | mortgage press releases   | sales blog            |
| jumbo mortgage news       | mortgage fraud             | mortgage production       | secondary marketing   |
| interest rates            | mortgage fraud blog        | mortgage public relations | social media          |
| loan modification news    | mortgage fraud local news  | mortgage rates            | servicing news        |
| loan originator survey    | Mortgage Fraud Index       | mortgage servicing        | subprime news         |
| LOS Newsletter            | Mortgage Graveyard         | mortgage statistics       | wholesale lenders     |
|                           |                            |                           |                       |

wireless mortgage news