

twitter linkedin
facebook google+

home subscribe advertise reprints e-mail help RSS about us LOG IN

Logout forgot password?

Search:



Mortgage News

News by Subject

Complete list of specialty news sections.

Purchase Subscription

Subscribe to MortgageDaily.com and get immediate access to all news, statistics and archives.

Mortgage Advertising

Reach mortgage executives, loan originators and other people tied to mortgage industry.

Consumer Mortgage News

Free mortgage news for prospective borrowers.

Mortgage Newsletter

Free e-mail newsletter with the latest headlines from MortgageDaily.com.

Mortgage News

Reprints

Put entire MortgageDaily.com stories in your online or printed newsletter or publication.

Mortgage Feed

Condensed MortgageDaily.com stories free on your Web site or for your RSS reader.

News Archives

Archive of MortgageDaily.com stories by month going back to 1999.

Press Releases

Reports and announcements from MortgageDaily.com.

Mortgage Statistics

Data and statistics for real estate finance.

Mortgage Directories

Directories of lenders, branch operators and mortgage service providers.

Mortgage Graphs

Directories of lenders, branch operators and mortgage service providers.

HOT Topics

production servicing compliance legal fraud secondary jobs appraisal site map

What can a **true** digital mortgage do for you? You can't even imagine.

EllieMae

[subscribe to free Mortgage Daily email newsletter](#)

[Mortgage Lawsuits News](#) | [Mortgage Litigation Index](#)

Mortgage industry lawsuit news and mortgage litigation coverage. Stories about legal settlements, judgments and mortgage class actions.



NV Super Lien Decisions Benefit Mortgage Firms

9th Circuit hammers away at attacks on HERA in HOA super-priority cases

Aug. 6, 2018

By **CHRISTINA V. MILLER**, Esq [Wright, Finlay & Zak LLP](#)

In August 2017, we saw the first controlling decision published by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals concerning the application of the *Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008* in the context of a Nevada homeowners' association non-judicial foreclosure sale. *Berezovsky v. Moniz*, 869 F.3d 923 (9th Cir. 2017).

Under HERA's asset protection clause, 12 U.S.C. §4617(j)(3), affectionately referred to as the "Federal Foreclosure Bar," no property of the Federal Housing Finance Agency shall be subject to foreclosure without the consent of FHFA.

The Ninth Circuit held that the Federal Foreclosure Bar is not limited to tax liens and does not require FHFA to actively resist a foreclosure to ensure it does not impliedly consent.

Addressing also whether the Federal Foreclosure Bar preempts the State Foreclosure Statute (NRS 116.3116 et seq.), the Ninth Circuit held that HERA implicitly demonstrates a clear intent to preempt Nevada's super-priority lien law.

Lastly, the Ninth Circuit analyzed [Freddie Mac's](#) ownership interest under Nevada law, concluding that Nevada recognizes that a note owner -- such as Freddie or [Fannie Mae](#) -- remains a secured creditor with a property interest even if the recorded deed of trust names only the owner's nominee or servicer. Most importantly, the Ninth Circuit found that Freddie's database printouts and excerpts of its *Single-Family Seller/ Servicing Guide*, along with a declaration from Freddie's employee explaining that the records show when Freddie owned the loan, were sufficient to prove Freddie Mac's interest.

As a result of the Ninth Circuit's reliance on limited evidence to prove the GSE's ownership interest, we have had success in similarly limiting and streamlining discovery in HERA-based actions in Federal Court.

But the story does not stop there.

Out of *Berezovsky* came several creative, although misguided, attacks to the Federal Foreclosure Bar, notably including: the "Securitization" and "Due Process" arguments.

Under the Securitization argument, numerous HOA buyers argued that FHFA did not "succeed to" mortgages "held in trust" because Congress omitted the phrase "shall succeed to" from the general exceptions set forth in subsection §4617(b)(19)(B), titled "Mortgages held in trust."

Under the due process argument, HOA buyers argued that FHFA deprived the buyer of its constitutionally-protected interest in real property it purchased at an HOA foreclosure sale by affirmatively determining not to consent to the HOA foreclosure sale; an ironic position in light of buyers' earlier argument that an "opt-in" notice requirement satisfied lenders' right to due process.

Almost a year later, in its second controlling decision published in June 2018, the Ninth Circuit has now disapproved both attacks on the Federal Foreclosure Bar, expressing that both the securitization and due process arguments lack any merit. *Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation v. SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC*, 893 F.3d 1136 (9th Cir. 2018).

In rejecting the securitization argument, the Ninth Circuit concluded that the HOA buyers' interpretation of the text of HERA would be an absurd reading of HERA, focusing on the intent behind enacting HERA in 2008 and the goal of protecting the GSEs' property as their mortgage portfolios constituted nearly half of the U.S. mortgage market. The Ninth Circuit also noted the importance of providing additional safeguards to loans backing mortgage-backed securities "to combat further systemic breakdown in the American housing market."

In rejecting the due process argument, the Ninth Circuit concluded that the state foreclosure statute does not function to provide HOA buyers with a constitutionally-protected interest in purchasing free and clear title to real property. Even if there was a theoretical deprivation of due process under the Federal Foreclosure Bar, it would actually implicate the seller -- the foreclosing HOA -- not the buyer.

The Nevada Supreme Court, on the other hand, appears to be shy in publishing any opinions on the Federal Foreclosure Bar, presumably while it waited to see where the Ninth Circuit falls on the same issues.

However, in a recent stream of unpublished opinions, the Nevada Supreme Court appears to be peeking out favorably upon Berezovsky and its progeny.

In March 2018, the Nevada Supreme Court held that the Federal Foreclosure Bar preempts the State Foreclosure Statute, finding that State Foreclosure Statute is in direct conflict with Congress' clear and manifest goal to protect Fannie Mae's property interest while under FHFA's conservatorship and, thus, the Federal Foreclosure Bar implicitly preempts the State Foreclosure Statute. *Saticoy Bay LLC Series 9641 Christine View v. Federal National Mortgage Association*, 134 Nev. Adv. Op. 36, 417 P.3d 363 (2018).(2) In this decision, the Nevada Supreme Court also agreed with the Ninth Circuit that FHFA does not implicitly consent to foreclosure - the Federal Foreclosure Bar does not require FHFA to actively resist foreclosure - citing favorably to the Ninth Circuit's *Berezovsky opinion*.

In June 2018, the Nevada Supreme Court held that a loan owner -- Fannie Mae -- can maintain a secured property interest while its loan servicer -- Bank of America and, subsequently, Nationstar -- appears as the recorded beneficiary of the deed of trust.(3) *Nationstar Mortgage, LLC v. Guberland LLC - Series 3, 420 P.3d 556, 2018 WL 3025919* (2018) (unpub.). The Nevada Supreme Court cited, with approval, to its decision in *Christine View*, as well as the Ninth Circuit's *Berezovsky opinion*.

Most recently, on July 10, 2018, the Nevada Supreme Court rejected the securitization and due process challenges to the Federal Foreclosure Bar, concluding: first, that due process argument failed because the action complained of is Congress's enactment of the Federal Foreclosure Bar but the HOA buyer did not have a property interest at that time, and the legislative process provided all the process that was due; and, second, assuming the loan was securitized at the time of the HOA foreclosure sale, it remained the property of Fannie while under FHFA's conservatorship because Fannie is the trustee, and therefore the legal owner, of the pool of loans it securitizes. *A&I LLC Series 3 v. Federal National Mortgage Association et al., --- P.3d ---, 2018 WL 3387787* (2018) (Unpub.).

Tellingly, the Nevada Supreme Court again looked to the Federal Courts for guidance citing to both District Court and Ninth Circuit decisions.(4)

Although it appears that these particular attacks are dead, the HOA buyers will not go quietly. Instead, we expect they will attempt to raise new attacks or, at least, whittle down the protections afforded by these cases.

Nevertheless, the mortgage industry can enjoy a well needed win for now. HERA mandates that FHFA shall "succeed to" the GSEs' assets.

(1)12 U.S.C. §4617(b)(2)(A)(i). Subsection §4617(b)(2) is titled "General Powers." Compare with subsection §4617(b)(19), titled "General Exceptions."

(2)Although originally unpublished, this opinion was reissued as a published opinion in May 2018.

(3) Relying on its earlier opinion in *In re Montierth*, 131 Nev. 543, 547, 354 P.3d 648, 650-651 (2015) (the note remains secured "if there is either a principal-agent relationship between the note holder and the mortgage holder, or the mortgage holder otherwise has authority to foreclose in the [note holder]'s behalf.").

(4) Both the June 2018 Guberland and July 2018 A&I LLC decisions remain unpublished. Nationstar and FHFA moved to have the Guberland decision reissued as a published opinion; however, Guberland LLC has also moved for rehearing.

[back to current headlines](#)